Remarks

Reconsideration of this Application is respectfully requested. Upon entry of the foregoing Amendment to the Claims, claims 1-16, 18, 20, 22-24, 26, 28, 30, 31, and 46-51 are pending in the application, of which claims 1, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 22, and 50 are independent. By the foregoing Amendment, claims 22-24, 26, and 28 are sought to be amended. No new matter is embraced by this amendment and its entry is respectfully requested. Based on the above Amendment and the remarks set forth below, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all outstanding rejections.

Allowance of Claims

Applicants acknowledge with appreciation the Examiner's statement of allowance of claims 1-16, 18, 20, 30, 31, and 46-51.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 101

The Examiner, on page 2 of the Office Action, has rejected claims 22-24, 26, and 28 under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter because they merely recite a computer program without any corresponding system or structure. Applicant has amended claims 22-24, 26, and 28 to include structure to overcome this rejection by claiming "[a]n article comprising a machine-accessible medium having stored thereon a plurality of instructions that, when executed by the machine, cause the machine to" Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider claims 22-24, 26, and 28, and withdraw this rejection.

Conclusion

All of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider all currently outstanding rejections and that they be withdrawn. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action and, as such, the present application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number provided.

Prompt and favorable consideration of this Response is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Intel Corporation

/Crystal D. Sayles, Reg. No. 44,318/

Crystal D. Sayles Senior Attorney Intel Americas, Inc. (202) 588-1959

Dated: <u>August 25, 2006</u>

c/o Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman, LLP 12400 Wilshire Blvd. Seventh Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026